

This Addendum #1 to Request for Proposals ("RFP") # 2020-01 from the Umatilla and Morrow Radio and Data District ("UMRDD" or "the District") provides answers to questions received from interested vendors as well as the impacts of those answers to the RFP.

Question 1) Will the mobiles being installed in a vehicle console need a face plate for them to be mounted and if so, who will be providing those? If it is the vendor, can you provide the name and type of consoles that are in the vehicles that will need the face plates?

Answer: Yes, dash-mount mobiles will require a face plate that will be provided either by the District or by the vehicle's owner.

Question 2) Will any of the fire vehicles being installed need the radio interfaced to a headset/intercom unit and if so, what are the manufactures of theses?

Answer: The interconnection of a radio to a fire-vehicle's headset/intercom unit is not the responsibility of the District but may be required by each fire-vehicle's owner. It will be up to the vehicle's owner and the selected vendor to determine which vehicles require such an interface and to negotiate and procure the selected vendor's equipment and/or services for any such interfaces. The District will provide to the selected vendor the point of contact for each fire-vehicle's owner so they may facilitate the necessary discussions. The District's records show that the following user agencies currently have the following quantities and types of headset/intercom units, however, this information is only for planning purposes and it remains the responsibility of the selected vendor to establish an agreement with the vehicle owners regarding an interface to the mobile radio.

Agency	Qty of Intercom/ Headset Interfaces	Types/Brands
EUFR (including Athena Fire, East Umatilla	5	4 Firecom, 1 Sigtronics, (also 2
Fire Dist. East Umatilla Health Dist., Helix		David Clarks not currently
Fire Dept.)		connected to existing radios)
Pendleton Fire Dept	4	2 Firecom, 2 David Clark
Umatilla Fire Dept	2	2 David Clark
Umatilla County FD #1	12	12 Firecom
Pilot Rock Fire Dept	1	1 Firecom
Boardman Fire Dept	2	2 Firecom
CTUIR Fire Dept	3	3 David Clark



Question 3) Will the install team be required to travel to each location as listed on page seven (7)? a. If not, what location(s) will be determined as the staging point for the installs?

Answer: Travel to each location listed on page seven will not be required. Please refer to Section 4.7 of the RFP for additional information.

Question 4) Request clarification that all power, antenna coax and connectors will be installed as new as part of this install. Existing coax will be removed and replaced with "new".

Answer: Existing antenna coax is to be removed and replaced with new. Please refer to Section 4.4.10 of the RFP for additional information.

Question 5) According to Para 4.9 on page 16, UMRDD will be providing both the antenna and coax. Will "thick-surface" mount coax cabling be provided for the fire and ambulance vehicles? These roof tops are normally thicker than that of passenger cars & trucks.

Answer: UMRDD expects that "thick surface" coax will be required in a minimal number of installations. (UMRDD believes that the only vehicles currently installed with "thick surface" coax are boats.) UMRDD will have a limited amount of "thick surface" coax available and will provide it to the selected vendor for those installations that require it.

Question 6) Will the Vendor be responsible for all mounting hardware, specific to the customer's vehicle, such as console faceplates?

Answer: Please refer to Question 1, above.

Question 7) Project Scheduling:

- a. What is the projected start date for this project?
- b. Is there a specific date installations need to be completed by?
- c. Is this schedule driven by specific location of install?

Answer: Please refer to Section 3 of the RFP for information regarding the start date for this project. There is no specific date by which installations must be completed nor is there a location-driven schedule, however, the District expects to work with the selected vendor to develop and complete as expedient a schedule as possible.



Question 8) If, during install, after-market upfitting wiring, and circuitry is not to installation standards, what will the procedure be to document and remedy the issue? Do we adapt the same process as listed in the pre-Install vehicle checks as listed in Par 4.2?

Answer: Should the selected vendor find that the installation of other equipment, such as those items listed in Section 4.2 of the RFP, is not to best practices, they should either: i) in cases where rectification can be performed quickly and easily, take steps to rectify the situation or ii) in cases where rectification cannot be performed quickly and easily, notify either a representative of UMRDD or the vehicle's owner and await for instructions on how to proceed. Notwithstanding the above, the selected vendor will, for all installations be required to complete the pre-installation and post-installation vehicle checks as described in Sections 4.2 and 4.5 of the RFP.

Impacts to RFP

#1) Based on the answers provided to Questions 1, 5, and 6, paragraph 1 of Section 4.9 of the RFP shall now read as follows (additions shown in underline):

"The UMRDD will supply the radios (configurations and contents listed in Section 2.2 of this RFP, prepared and distributed as described in Section 4.7 of this RFP) along with 700MHz antenna (and cable, including thick-surface coax when required), external speaker (to be already installed in vehicles), external speaker cable adapter, face plates (for dash- or console-mounts), and, if applicable, GPS antenna and associated cabling."

#2) Based on the answer provided to Question 8, the following shall be inserted as paragraph two of Section 4.4.2 of the RFP (additions shown in underline):

"Should the Vendor find that the installation of other equipment, such as those items listed in Section 4.2 of the RFP, is not to best practices, they should either: i) in cases where rectification can be performed quickly and easily, take steps to rectify the situation or ii) in cases where rectification cannot be performed quickly and easily, notify either a representative of UMRDD or the vehicle's owner and await for instructions on how to proceed."

#3) Based on the two impacts provided directly above, the first sentence of Section 5.7.3 of the RFP shall now read as follows (additions shown in underline):

"Include a statement that the Vendor has read the Scope of Work in Section 4 (including all subsections and all impacts of Addendum #1) of this RFP and that the Vendor understands all requirements and will comply with them. Exceptions or clarifications to any requirement of Section 4 (including the impacts of Addendum #1) must be stated in this section of the response by referencing the specific requirement of Section 4 and describing how the work to be performed will differ from that



requirement. Include in this section any additional assumptions regarding how, when, or where the Vendor will conduct their work that are not addressed in Section 4. If no exception or clarification is made, the District will assume all work will be completed exactly per the requirements of Section 4 (including the impacts of Addendum #1)."

All other requirements and conditions of the RFP, including the closing date and time, remain unchanged.